



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/718,421	11/20/2003	Rainer Grimm	60,130-1927; 02MRA0547	9645
26096 7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER PEDDER, DENNIS H		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3612	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٢			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary		10/718,421	GRIMM ET AL.				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
	earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 August 2005.							
		·	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	Disposition of Claims							
	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-9,18 and 19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 9,18 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	Application Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
77	Attachment(s	•						
		of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	3) 🔲 Informa	or Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-945) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/718,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of Stewart et al., Nation, or Copeland in view of Crider et al.

All three of the primary references have a traveling roll blind or curtain for closing off a portal within the vehicle. Crider et al. teach that a portal cover may be improved by utilizing a clip and counterpart fastener at side edges to seal the interior from bugs, wind, etc. The preamble is not deemed to be limiting to the body of the claim in "motor", however Stewart et al. is for a motor vehicle in towing and Nation is a motor vehicle.

See figure 5 of Crider et al. with rotatable coiling body 34 shiftable by a translational motion, roller blind 18 fixedly mounted to the window frame as shown schematically at top, guide rails 12,13, detent part 27 on each edge comprising one half of a hook and loop fastener, and a counterpart 26 comprising the other half of the hook and loop fastener fitted to each of guide rails 12, 13 and complementary to the detent part 27 such that connection/disconnection occurs on shifting. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in any one of Stewart et al., Nation, or Copeland a roller blind as taught by Crider et al. for the above reason.

As to claim 8, see pretensioning mechanism 36,30 in figure 5 and the complementary discussion in col. 4.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 9, 18-19 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 3612

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612
8/18/05

DHP 8/18/2005